Case 2:19-cv-00439-JCM-EJY Document 72 Filed 07/23/21 Page 1 of 3

1	GARG GOLDEN LAW FIRM		
2	ANTHONY B. GOLDEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9563		
3	KEVIN E. HELM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3432 CHARLES J. LEE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13523 AMANDA J. BROOKHYSER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11526		
4			
5			
6	3145 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 230 Henderson, Nevada 89052		
7	Tel: (702) 850-0202 Fax: (702) 850-0204 Email: agolden@garggolden.com Email: khelm@garggolden.com		
8			
9	Email: clee@garggolden.com Email: abrookhyser@garggolden.com		
10	Counsel for Defendants		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13			
14	DANNY EISENBERG,	CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-00439-JCM-DJA	
15	Plaintiff,	STIDLY ATION AND	
16	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO VACATE JUDGMENT AND ENTER DISMISSAL, WITH PREJUDICE	
17	J. PAUL WIESNER & ASSOCIATES, CHARTERED, a Nevada Professional	ENTER DISMISSAL, WITH I RESUDICE	
18	Corporation, doing business as RADIOLOGY ASSOCIATES OF NEVADA; and PUEBLO		
19	MEDICAL IMAGING, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
20	Defendants.		
21	Defendants.		
22	The above-referenced parties, by and through their counsel of record hereby stipulate to		
23	the following:		
24	1. On May 24, 2021, Defendants served an offer of judgment on Plaintiff, which		
25	included a condition that acceptance of the offer required a mutually agreeable settlement		
26	agreement and entry of dismissal of this case, with prejudice, rather than entry of judgment in the		

28

27

amount of the offer.

On June 8, 2021, Plaintiff served on Defendants a Notice of Acceptance of Offer 2.

1

of Judgment.

2

4

56

7

8

1011

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

GARG GOLDEN LAW FIRM 3145 St. Rose Parkway Suite 230 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 850-0202 3. The parties then entered into a settlement agreement on July 2, 2021.

4. Pursuant to that settlement agreement, the parties agreed that Plaintiff could file with the Court his Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment to allow the same to be within the Court's public record, but the parties would also enter into a stipulation and order for dismissal of this action, with prejudice, to be consistent with he condition in the offer of judgment and the parties' settlement agreement that this case be dismissed, with prejudice.

- 5. On July 16, 2021, Plaintiff filed with the Court his Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment (ECF #65).
- 6. Also on July 16, 2021, Defendants, with approval from Plaintiff, filed a Stipulation and Order for Dismissal, With Prejudice, which explained that the Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment should not result as a judgment entered against Defendants but that the Stipulation should control and requested that the Court enter dismissal of the case, with prejudice. (ECF #66).
- 7. On July19, 2021, the Court Clerk struck Plaintiff's Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment as incorrectly filed and asked that Plaintiff refile the Notice under the proper category (ECF #67). The Clerk did not strike the Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with Prejudice.
- 8. On July 19, 2021, Plaintiff re-filed his Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment (ECF #68). Because the Stipulation and Order for Dismissal had not been struck, the parties did not believe they needed to re-file that document.
- 9. On July 20, 2021, the Clerk entered judgment against Defendants based on the Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment and contrary to the parties' Stipulation for Dismissal.

///

///

Case 2:19-cv-00439-JCM-EJY Document 72 Filed 07/23/21 Page 3 of 3

1	10. To correct the mistake, likely caused by the way in which the parties went about	
2	the Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment and the Stipulation for Dismissal, and to make thi	
3	dismissal consistent with the agreement of the parties in their settlement agreement as to how the	
4	dismissal of this matter would be recorded in the Court record, the parties submit this Stipulation	
5	and Order to vacate the judgment and enter dismissal of the action, with prejudice, with	
6	all parties to bear their own attorney fees and costs.	
7	Dated this 21 st day of July, 2021. Dated this 21 st day of July, 2021.	
8	GARG GOLDEN LAW FIRM LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK	
9		
10	By /s/ Anthony B. Golden By /s/ Robert P. Spretnak	
11	ANTHONY B. GOLDEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9563 Nevada Bar No. 9563 ROBERT P. SPŘETNAK, ESQ. 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200	
12	KEVIN E. HELM, ESQ. Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Nevada Bar No. 3432 (702) 454-4900	
13	CHARLES J. LEE, ESQ. Counsel for Plaintiff Nevada Bar No. 13523	
14	AMANDA J. BROOKHYSER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11526	
15	3145 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 230 Henderson, Nevada 89052	
16	(702) 850-0202 Counsel for Defendants	
17	<u>ORDER</u>	
18	The Court has reviewed the parties' foregoing stipulation and the documents reference	
19	therein. Good cause appearing, the judgment in this matter is vacated, and this matter is dismissed	
20	with prejudice, with all parties to bear their own attorney fees and costs.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
22		
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
24		
25	July 23, 2021 DATED:	
26		
27		
28		
	1	

GARG GOLDEN LAW FIRM 3145 St. Rose Parkway Suite 230 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 850-0202